arXiv:hep-ph/0212372v2 14 Feb 2003

RUB-TP2-15/02

Genuine twist-3 contributions to the generalized parton distributions from instantons

D. V. Kiptily[a], M. V. Polyakov[a,b]

[a]Institut für Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr–Universität Bochum, D–44780 Bochum, Germany
[b]Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, St. Petersburg 188350, Russia

Abstract

The genuine twist-3 quark-gluon ($\bar{q}Gq$) contributions to the Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs) are estimated in the model of the instanton vacuum. These twist-3 effects are found to be parametrically suppressed relative to the "kinematical" twist-3 ones due to the small packing fraction of the instanton vacuum. We derived exact sum rules for the twist-3 GPDs.

1 Twist-3 DVCS amplitude

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS) [1, 2] is a subject of rather intensive investigations during the last few years (for a detailed recent review of DVCS see [3]). It is a two-photon process:

$$\gamma^*(q) + N(P) \to \gamma'(q') + N'(P')\,, \tag{1}$$

in which the initial virtual photon γ^*, being produced by a lepton, interacts with a parton of the initial nucleon N, the final real photon γ' is radiated by the parton, and the nucleon N' in the final state is formed by the active parton and the rest of the initial nucleon N. The reaction is considered in the Bjorken limit, when the virtuality of the initial photon $Q^2 = -q^2 \gg P^2$ is large, Bjorken variable $x_{\rm Bj} = Q^2/2(P\cdot q)$ is fixed and the momentum transfer squared is small: $(P'-P)^2 \ll Q^2$. The first experimental results on DVCS can be found in Refs. [4, 5, 6, 7].

We will work in the infinite momentum frame defined by the light-cone vectors $n^\mu = 1/(\bar{P}_+\sqrt{2})(1,0,0,-1)$ and $p^\mu = \bar{P}_+/\sqrt{2}(1,0,0,1)$, with $\bar{P}^\mu = (P+P')^\mu/2$ being the average hadron momentum (note, that $n^2 = p^2 = 0$ and $n\cdot p = n\cdot\bar{P} = 1$). Let us define the momentum transfer as $\Delta^\mu = (P'-P)^\mu$ and its square as $t = (P'-P)^2$. In this paper we shall use a kinematical variable ξ, analogous to the Bjorken one, defined as $\xi = -(n\cdot\Delta)/2$. A more detailed description of the DVCS kinematics can be found, e.g., in [3].

To leading order in the electromagnetic coupling constant, the amplitude of DVCS is proportional to the hadronic tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$,

$$H_{\mu\nu} = -i\int d^4x\, e^{-iqx}\,\langle P'|T\,J_\mu(x)J_\nu(0)|P\rangle\ . \tag{2}$$

To order $(1/Q)^0$, it can be expressed through the non-diagonal hadron matrix elements $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$ of gauge-invariant light-cone bilocal operators:

$$H_{\mu\nu} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\left(-g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu}\right)\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, C_+(x,\xi)\; n^\alpha \mathcal{F}_\alpha(x,\xi,t)$$

$$- \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon^{\perp}_{\mu\nu} \int_{-1}^{1} dx\, C_{-}(x,\xi)\; n^{\alpha}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha}(x,\xi,t) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{Q}\right) , \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha}$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(x,\xi,t) \;=\; \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi}\, e^{-i\lambda x} \left\langle P', S' \left| \bar{\psi}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}n\right) \gamma_{\alpha}\psi\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2}n\right) \right| P, S \right\rangle , \tag{4}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha}(x,\xi,t) \;=\; \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi}\, e^{-i\lambda x} \left\langle P', S' \left| \bar{\psi}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}n\right) \gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_5\psi\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2}n\right) \right| P, S \right\rangle \tag{5}$$

(let us note, that here we do not write explicitly the phase factors which make the bilocal operators to be those gauge-invariant). The coefficient functions $C_{\pm}(x,\xi)$ are

$$C_{\pm}(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{x-\xi+i\varepsilon} \pm \frac{1}{x+\xi-i\varepsilon} , \tag{6}$$

and the transverse tensors $-g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\varepsilon^{\perp}_{\mu\nu}$ are

$$- g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu} = -g_{\mu\nu} + n_{\mu}p_{\nu} + n_{\nu}p_{\mu} , \qquad \varepsilon^{\perp}_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} n^{\lambda} p^{\sigma} . \tag{7}$$

In general, the functions $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha}$ contain both the purely quark and mixed quark-gluon contributions. However, in the considered case, the quark-gluon contribution vanishes due to contraction with the light-cone vector n^{α}. Therefore the scalar products $n^{\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ and $n^{\alpha}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha}$ can be parameterized in terms of four functions $H_q(x,\xi,t)$, $E_q(x,\xi,t)$ and $\widetilde{H}_q(x,\xi,t)$, $\widetilde{E}_q(x,\xi,t)$ in the following way [2]:

$$n^{\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} \;=\; H_q(x,\xi,t)\; \bar{N}(P',S')\hat{n}N(P,S) + E_q(x,\xi,t)\; \bar{N}(P',S')\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}n_{\mu}\Delta_{\nu}}{2M}N(P,S) , \tag{8}$$

$$n^{\alpha}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha} \;=\; \widetilde{H}_q(x,\xi,t)\; \bar{N}(P',S')\hat{n}\gamma_5 N(P,S) + \widetilde{E}_q(x,\xi,t)\; \bar{N}(P',S')\frac{\gamma_5 \Delta\cdot n}{2M}N(P,S) . \tag{9}$$

Here $N(P,S)$ is the Dirac bispinor of a hadron (a proton in our case), M is the hadron mass and we use the notation $\hat{x} = x^{\mu}\gamma_{\mu}$ throughout the paper. The functions H, E and $\widetilde{H}$, $\widetilde{E}$ are the Generalized Parton Distributions (GPD) (for a review see Refs. [8, 9, 10]). In the forward limit $P' = P$ the distributions H_q and $\widetilde{H}_q$ coincide with the usual parton densities $q(x)$ and $\Delta q(x)$. The distributions E_q and $\widetilde{E}_q$ are completely new ones. Thus the investigation of DVCS allows us to get an additional information about the structure of hadrons. In particular, the GPDs contain an information about the hadron spin distribution among the partons of the hadron [2, 11].

The amplitude of DVCS has corrections of two types: firstly, loop corrections in powers of the electromagnetic and strong coupling constants and, secondly, higher twist corrections in powers of $1/Q$. We will not consider the loop corrections in this paper. Making allowance for the first correction in powers of $1/Q$, the hadronic tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ takes the following form [12, 13] (for the pion target see [14, 15]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}\left[(-g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{\Delta^{\perp}_{\mu}\bar{P}_{\nu}}{(\bar{P}\cdot q')}\right]\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, C_{+}(x,\xi)\; n^{\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(x,\xi,t) \\
&+ \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon^{\perp\lambda}_{\mu}\left[(-g^{\perp}_{\lambda\nu}) - \frac{\Delta^{\perp}_{\lambda}\bar{P}_{\nu}}{(\bar{P}\cdot q')}\right]\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, C_{-}(x,\xi)\; n^{\alpha}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha}(x,\xi,t) \\
&- \frac{(q+4\xi\bar{P})_{\mu}}{2\,(\bar{P}\cdot q')}\left[(-g^{\perp}_{\lambda\nu}) - \frac{\Delta^{\perp}_{\lambda}\bar{P}_{\nu}}{(\bar{P}\cdot q')}\right]\int_{-1}^{1} dx\; \Big\{\mathcal{F}^{\lambda}_{\perp}(x,\xi,t)\; C_{+}(x,\xi) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad - i\varepsilon^{\lambda\sigma}_{\perp}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\perp}_{\sigma}(x,\xi,t)\; C_{-}(x,\xi)\Big\} \\
&+ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{Q^{2}}\right). \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $H_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the transversity conditions

$$
q^{\mu}H_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad H_{\mu\nu}(q')^{\nu} = 0. \qquad (11)
$$

We see that the correction contains a term proportional to the transverse part of the vector functions $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha}$. This means that, in comparison to the leading order, to order $1/Q$ we deal with corrections of two types: those “kinematical” associated with purely quark operators and “genuine twist-3” ones originated from the quark-gluon operators, which have the form $\bar{\psi}G\psi$, where ψ is the operator of the quark field and G denotes the operator of the gluon field strength tensor. The “kinematical” contribution can be expressed through the twist-2 quark GPDs H, E, $\widetilde{H}$, $\widetilde{E}$ [13, 14, 15, 16, 17].

In the case of polarized DIS the quark-gluon correction is supposed to be small relative to the “kinematical” one. This assumption is known as Wadzura-Wilczek approximation [18]. The experimental data [19, 20, 21] point at its reliability. It is assumed the same approximation to be valid in the case of DVCS. The aim of this paper is to test this hypothesis in the model of the instanton vacuum [22].

A method based on the model of the instanton vacuum, which allows to calculate hadronic matrix elements of the quark-gluon operators, was suggested [23]. In the case of DIS it was shown [24, 25] that the operators of twist-3 are of order $(\rho/R)^4\ln(\rho/R)$, where ρ is an average size of instantons and R is an average distance between instantons in the instanton medium. Thus, the matrix elements are parametrically small due to the packing fraction $\rho/R \sim 1/3$. In this paper we apply this analysis to the nondiagonal hadronic matrix elements of the quark-gluon operators in the framework of DVCS. We show that in the model of the instanton vacuum they are parametrically suppressed by the packing fraction of the instanton vacuum. In the forward limit we reproduce the results for the diagonal case, that is for polarized DIS.

2 “Genuine twist-3” effects

Let us consider the following vector and axial vector bilocal operators

$$
V_{\alpha}(x,-x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma_{\alpha}[x,-x]\psi(-x)\,, \qquad (12)
$$

$$
A_{\alpha}(x,-x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{5}[x,-x]\psi(-x)\,. \qquad (13)
$$

Here

$$[x,y] = P\exp\left\{ig\int_0^1 du\,(x-y)^\nu A_\nu(ux+(1-u)y)\right\} \tag{14}$$

is the phase factor ordered along a straight line connecting the points x and y. In what follows we will not write it explicitly assuming its presence in all non-local gauge invariant operators. In oder to separate the "genuine twist-3" contributions to the operators (12), (13) from the "kinematical" ones we shall use a technique elaborated in [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. According to the result obtained by Balitsky and Braun [26, 27] in the case of massless fermion operators there are the following operator identities ($\varepsilon_{0123}=+1$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar\psi(x)\gamma_\alpha\psi(-x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\int_0^1 du\,\bar\psi(ux)\hat{x}\psi(-ux)\\
&- i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\sigma}\int_0^1 du\,ux^\beta\mathfrak{D}^\lambda\left[\bar\psi(ux)\gamma^\sigma\gamma_5\psi(-ux)\right] + \int_0^1 du\,\mathfrak{G}_\alpha(ux)\,, \qquad (15)\\
\bar\psi(x)\gamma_\alpha\gamma_5\psi(-x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\int_0^1 du\,\bar\psi(ux)\hat{x}\gamma_5\psi(-ux)\\
&- i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\sigma}\int_0^1 du\,ux^\beta\mathfrak{D}^\lambda\left[\bar\psi(ux)\gamma^\sigma\psi(-ux)\right] + \int_0^1 du\,\mathfrak{G}_{5\alpha}(ux)\,, \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{G}_\alpha(x) &= g\int_{-1}^1 dv\,\bar\psi(x)\left[\varepsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\tau}G^{\mu\rho}(vx)x_\rho x^\nu\gamma^\tau\gamma_5 - ivG_{\alpha\rho}(vx)x^\rho\hat{x}\right]\psi(-x)\,, \qquad (17)\\
\mathfrak{G}_{5\alpha}(x) &= g\int_{-1}^1 dv\,\bar\psi(x)\left[\varepsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\tau}G^{\mu\rho}(vx)x_\rho x^\nu\gamma^\tau\gamma_5 - ivG_{\alpha\rho}(vx)x^\rho\hat{x}\right]\gamma_5\psi(-x)\,. \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

In these equations the derivative $\mathfrak{D}^\lambda$ is defined for any combination Γ of Dirac γ-matrices as

$$\mathfrak{D}^\lambda\left\{\bar\psi(x)\Gamma[x,-x]\psi(-x)\right\} = \lim_{y\to0}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\lambda}\left\{\bar\psi(x+y)\Gamma[x+y,-x+y]\psi(-x+y)\right\}. \tag{19}$$

Later we will use the fact that the non-diagonal matrix element of (19) is proportional to the momentum transfer:

$$\left\langle P',S'\left|\mathfrak{D}^\lambda\left\{\bar\psi(x)\Gamma[x,-x]\psi(-x)\right\}\right|P,S\right\rangle = i(P'-P)^\lambda\left\langle P',S'\left|\bar\psi(x)\Gamma[x,-x]\psi(-x)\right|P,S\right\rangle. \tag{20}$$

If we took the fermion mass into account, there would appear an additional mass term in the expression (16) for the axial vector bilocal operator

$$+\,2im\int_0^1 du\,u\,\bar\psi(ux)i\sigma_{\alpha\beta}x^\beta\gamma_5\psi(-ux). \tag{21}$$

We would now like to express the operators (12), (13) through the symmetrical ones:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{sym}(x,-x) &= \bar\psi(x)\hat{x}[x,-x]\psi(-x)\,, \qquad (22)\\
A_{sym}(x,-x) &= \bar\psi(x)\hat{x}\gamma_5[x,-x]\psi(-x)\,. \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

These operators are "symmetrical" in a sense that on light cone ($x^2 = 0$) they expand in series of symmetrical traceless local operators. In order to do so we should solve the system of ordinary differential equations (15), (16) with respect to $V_\alpha(x,-x)$ and $A_\alpha(x,-x)$ (see Appendix of ref. [17] for details). The vector solution can be presented in the following form:

$$V_\alpha(x,-x) = V_\alpha^{WW}(x,-x) + V_\alpha^{tw3}(x,-x)\,, \tag{24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_\alpha^{WW}(x,-x) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 du\,\left\{ e^{(1-u)[(x\cdot\mathfrak{D})^2 - x^2\mathfrak{D}^2]^{1/2}} + e^{-(1-u)[(x\cdot\mathfrak{D})^2 - x^2\mathfrak{D}^2]^{1/2}} \right\} \\ &\left\{ \left[x_\alpha\mathfrak{D}^2 - (x\cdot\mathfrak{D})\,\mathfrak{D}_\alpha\right]\int_0^u dv\, v\, \bar\psi(vx)\hat{x}[vx,-vx]\psi(-vx) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left[\bar\psi(ux)\hat{x}[ux,-ux]\psi(-ux)\right] \right. \\ &\left. - i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\sigma}x^\beta\mathfrak{D}^\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\sigma}\int_0^u dv\,\bar\psi(vx)\hat{x}\gamma_5[vx,-vx]\psi(-vx)\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_\alpha^{tw3}(x,-x) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 du\,\left\{ e^{(1-u)[(x\cdot\mathfrak{D})^2 - x^2\mathfrak{D}^2]^{1/2}} + e^{-(1-u)[(x\cdot\mathfrak{D})^2 - x^2\mathfrak{D}^2]^{1/2}} \right\} \\ &\left\{ -i\left[x^2\mathfrak{D}_\alpha - x_\alpha\,(x\cdot\mathfrak{D})\right]\int_0^u dv\, v\int_{-v}^{v} dt\,\bar\psi(vx)[vx,tx]gG_{\mu\nu}(tx)x^\mu\gamma^\nu[tx,-vx]\psi(-vx) \right. \\ &\left. + \mathfrak{G}_\alpha(ux) - i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\sigma}x^\beta\mathfrak{D}^\lambda\int_0^u dv\,\mathfrak{G}_5^\sigma(vx)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Here V_α^{WW} is the "kinematical" (or Wandzura-Wilczek) contribution to the bilocal vector operator (12). The WW-contribution for the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ target was discussed in details in Refs. [13, 16]. The second term V_α^{tw3} in (24) is the "genuine twist-3" (quark-gluon) contribution to the vector operator. In the case $x^2 = 0$ the formulae (24)-(26) reproduce the result of Belitsky and Müller [13]. It is of necessity to note that the "genuine twist-3" contribution to the scalar product $x^\alpha V_\alpha(x,-x)$ vanishes, with the first term of the "kinematical" contribution only being survived. In the case when $x^\alpha = \lambda n^\alpha/2$ this means that the quark-gluon contribution to the function $n^\alpha\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ vanishes, giving rise to the decomposition (8).

The corresponding expressions for the axial vector operator (13) can be obtained by the following substitution in Eqs. (24)-(26):

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma\psi \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \Gamma\gamma_5\psi\,, \\ \mathfrak{G}_\alpha \quad &\longleftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{G}_{5\alpha}\,. \end{aligned}$$

3 Diagonal nucleon matrix elements

Let us consider polarized structure functions defined as integrals over diagonal matrix elements of string operators (for a review see [28, 29] and references therein):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2M}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi}\,e^{-i\lambda x}\left\langle P,S\left|\bar\psi\left(\frac{\lambda n}{2}\right)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\psi\left(-\frac{\lambda n}{2}\right)\right|P,S\right\rangle &= g_1(x)(S\cdot n)p_\mu + g_T(x)S_{\perp\mu} \\ &+ g_3(x)M^2(S\cdot n)n_\mu\,, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$\frac{1}{2M}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi}\,e^{-i\lambda x}\left\langle P,S\left|\bar{\psi}\left(\frac{\lambda n}{2}\right)i\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\psi\left(-\frac{\lambda n}{2}\right)\right|P,S\right\rangle \begin{aligned}[t] &= h_1(x)\frac{1}{M}(S_{\perp\mu}p_\nu - S_{\perp\nu}p_\mu) \\ &+ h_L(x)M(S\cdot n)(p_\mu n_\nu - p_\nu n_\mu) \\ &+ h_3(x)M(S_{\perp\mu}n_\nu - S_{\perp\nu}n_\mu), \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where the spin 4-vector is normalized as $S^2 = -1$. Taking the matrix element of (16) with the mass term (21) we arrive at formulas known as Wandzura-Wilczek relations ([18, 30]). For the region $0 < x < 1$ we have:

$$S_{\perp\alpha}\left\{g_T(x) - \int_x^1\frac{dy}{y}\,g_1(y) - \frac{m}{M}\left[\frac{h_1(x)}{x} - \int_x^1\frac{dy}{y^2}\,h_1(y)\right]\right\} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2M}\int_x^1\frac{dy}{y}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi}\,e^{-i\lambda y}\left\langle P,S_\perp\left|\mathfrak{G}_{5\alpha}\left(\frac{\lambda n}{2}\right)\right|P,S_\perp\right\rangle. \tag{29}$$

An analogous equation for the region $-1 < x < 0$ reads:

$$S_{\perp\alpha}\left\{g_T(x) + \int_{-1}^x\frac{dy}{y}\,g_1(y) - \frac{m}{M}\left[\frac{h_1(x)}{x} + \int_{-1}^x\frac{dy}{y^2}\,h_1(y)\right]\right\} =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2M}\int_{-1}^x\frac{dy}{y}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi}\,e^{-i\lambda y}\left\langle P,S_\perp\left|\mathfrak{G}_{5\alpha}\left(\frac{\lambda n}{2}\right)\right|P,S_\perp\right\rangle. \tag{30}$$

It is easy to get the Wanzsura-Wilczek relations for the Mellin moments. For example, in the massless case they have the form:

$$S_{\perp\alpha}\int_{-1}^1 dx\,x^m\left[g_T(x) - \frac{1}{m+1}g_1(x)\right] = \frac{(-i)^m}{2M(m+1)}\,\frac{\partial^m}{\partial\lambda^m}\left\langle P,S_\perp\left|\mathfrak{G}_{5\alpha}\left(\frac{\lambda n}{2}\right)\right|P,S_\perp\right\rangle\Bigg|_{\lambda=0}. \tag{31}$$

In particular, for $m = 0$ we have:

$$\int_{-1}^1 dx\,\left[g_T(x) - g_1(x)\right] = 0; \tag{32}$$

for $m = 1$ we get:

$$\int_{-1}^1 dx\,x\left[g_T(x) - \frac{1}{2}g_1(x)\right] = 0; \tag{33}$$

and for $m = 2$ we arrive at:

$$S_{\perp\alpha}\int_{-1}^1 dx\,x^2\left[g_T(x) - \frac{1}{3}g_1(x)\right] =$$

$$= \frac{1}{6M}\left\langle P,S_\perp\left|g\,\bar{\psi}\left[\widetilde{G}_{\alpha\beta}n^\beta\hat{n} - n_\alpha\widetilde{G}_{\lambda\beta}n^\beta\gamma^\lambda\right]\psi\right|P,S_\perp\right\rangle. \tag{34}$$

The second term in (34) is purely longitudinal in α and does not give any contribution, therefore the transverse part of (34) takes the form

$$S_{\perp\alpha}\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\left[g_T(x)-\frac{1}{3}g_1(x)\right]=\frac{1}{6M}\left\langle P,S_\perp\left|g\,\bar{\psi}\widetilde{G}_{\alpha\beta}n^\beta\hat{n}\psi\right|P,S_\perp\right\rangle,\qquad \alpha=1,2. \tag{35}$$

The validity of (32)-(34) follows from the observation that $\mathfrak{G}_5(\lambda n/2)\sim\lambda^2$ and, consequently, the first non-vanishing moment in (31) is the x^2-moment. The eqs. (32), (33) known as Burkhardt-Cottingham [31] and Efremov-Leader-Teryaev [32] sum rules correspondingly. Using the definition of the constant $d^{(2)}$, which measures the effect of genuine twist-3 contributions:

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\left[g_T(x)-\frac{1}{3}g_1(x)\right]=\frac{2}{3}\,d^{(2)}, \tag{36}$$

we come to the identity

$$S_\perp^\alpha\left\langle P,S_\perp\left|g\,\bar{\psi}\widetilde{G}_{\alpha\beta}n^\beta\hat{n}\psi\right|P,S_\perp\right\rangle=-4Md^{(2)}, \tag{37}$$

which illustrates that the constant $d^{(2)}$ characterizes the correlations of quarks and gluons in a hadron.

4 Nondiagonal nucleon matrix elements

In this section we would like to concentrate on gluon part $V_\alpha^{tw3}(x,-x)$ of eqs. (24)-(26) with $x=\frac{1}{2}\lambda n$. Fourier transformation of its nondiagonal nucleon matrix element

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{tw3}(P',S';P,S;x)=\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi}\,e^{-i\lambda x}\left\langle P',S'\left|V_\alpha^{tw3}\left(\frac{\lambda n}{2},-\frac{\lambda n}{2}\right)\right|P,S\right\rangle \tag{38}$$

is the gluon part of the vector GPD, where the spin 4-vectors are normalized as $S^2=S'^2=-1$. Mellin moments of $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{tw3}$ are given by

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^m\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{tw3}(P',S';P,S;x)=(-i)^m\,\frac{\partial^m}{\partial\lambda^m}\left\langle P',S'\left|V_\alpha^{tw3}\left(\frac{\lambda n}{2},-\frac{\lambda n}{2}\right)\right|P,S\right\rangle\Bigg|_{\lambda=0}. \tag{39}$$

It is not difficult to predict the results of the calculation of the first moments in (39). The $\mathfrak{G}$-term in (26) is proportional to x^2 (17) and, consequently, to λ^2. The first and the third terms in (26) are proportional to x^3 and, consequently, to λ^3. This means that x^0- and x^1-moments should vanish; the $\mathfrak{G}$-term defines the x^2-moment, with the other terms of (26) being given their contributions to the highest moments only. Thus one can get by direct calculation that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx\,\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{tw3}(x;P',S';P,S)=\int_{-1}^{1} dx\,x\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{tw3}(x;P',S';P,S)=0, \tag{40}$$

These results mean that the first two moments of the GPDs do not get gluon contributions, the WW approximation turns out to be exact for them.

The first nontrivial Mellin moment sensitive to the genuine twist-3 contributions is the x^2-moment. It has the following form:

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2 \mathcal{F}^{tw3}_{\alpha}(x; P', S'; P, S) \;=\; \frac{1}{3}\left\langle P', S' \left| g\,\bar\psi \left[\widetilde{G}_{\alpha\beta} n^\beta \hat{n} \right.\right.\right. \\ \left.\left.\left. - n_\alpha \widetilde{G}_{\lambda\beta} n^\beta \gamma^\lambda\right]\gamma_5\psi \right| P, S\right\rangle . \tag{41}$$

The transverse part of eq. (41) has the form

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2 \mathcal{F}^{tw3}_{\alpha}(x; P', S'; P, S) = \frac{1}{3}\left\langle P', S' \left| g\,\bar\psi \widetilde{G}_{\alpha\beta} n^\beta \hat{n}\gamma_5\psi \right| P, S\right\rangle, \qquad \alpha = 1, 2. \tag{42}$$

The expressions for the axial moments can be obtained using the substitution: $\Gamma\psi \longrightarrow \Gamma\gamma_5\psi$.

5 Estimate of the nucleon matrix elements

In order to estimate the magnitude of the second moments (35), (42), we should analyze the nondiagonal *hadron* matrix elements of the quark-gluon operators:

$$O_\perp \;=\; g\,\bar\psi \widetilde{G}_{\perp\lambda} n^\lambda \hat{n}\psi, \tag{43}$$

$$O_{5\perp} \;=\; g\,\bar\psi \widetilde{G}_{\perp\lambda} n^\lambda \hat{n}\gamma_5\psi \tag{44}$$

(in this section we will use a notation: $O_\perp \equiv O_\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, 2$). For this purpose we first consider the nondiagonal *quark* matrix elements of the operators (43), (44). A method of calculation of such matrix elements in the model of the instanton vacuum was suggested in Refs. [23, 24]. It was developed in the framework of the Effective Chiral Theory of the nucleon [33] (see also recent reviews [34, 35] and the references therein). The essence of the method is in the following.

In the model of the instanton vacuum [22] the fermion partition function in the presence of N_+ instantons and N_- anti-instantons can be represented as a functional integral over the fermion fields with a fermion effective action $S_{\rm eff}[\bar\psi, \psi]$:

$$Z^{\rm fermions}_{N_\pm} = Z_{N_\pm} C \int \mathcal{D}\bar\psi \mathcal{D}\psi \exp\left\{ i S_{\rm eff}[\bar\psi, \psi]\right\}, \tag{45}$$

where in the case of one quark flavor

$$S_{\rm eff}[\bar\psi, \psi] = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\, \bar\psi(k)\left[\hat{k} - M(ik)\right]\psi(k) \tag{46}$$

and $Z_{N_\pm}$ is the variational partition function of the gluodynamics. Eq. (46) points out that a quark propagating in the instanton medium acquires a dynamical momentum-dependent mass $M(ik)$. It is this mass that leads to the nonvanishing value of the vacuum condensate $\langle\bar\psi\psi\rangle$ and, consequently, to the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking in QCD [34]. The quark propagator in the instanton vacuum model can be written in the momentum representation as

$$\hat{S}(k) = i\frac{\hat{k} + M(ik)}{k^2 - M^2(ik) + i\varepsilon}. \tag{47}$$

The dynamical mass $M(ik)$ has the form:

$$M(ik) = M|F(ik)|^2. \tag{48}$$

Here the mass $M \sim \rho/R^2 \sim 345$ MeV, where ρ is the average size of the instantons in the instanton medium and R is the average distance between instantons. The instanton medium is characterized by its "packing fraction" ρ/R with a phenomenological value $\rho/R \sim 1/3$. Thus, the product $M\rho \sim \rho^2/R^2$ is parametrically small. The function $F(k)$ in (48) is a form factor proportional to the Fourier transformation of the wave function of the fermion zero-mode:

$$\begin{aligned} F(k) &= -t\frac{d}{dt}\left[I_0(t)K_0(t) - I_1(t)K_1(t)\right], \qquad t = \frac{1}{2}k\rho, \\ F(k) &= 1, \qquad \rho \to 0, \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where $I_n(t)$ are the modified Bessel functions and $K_n(t)$ are the modified Hankel functions.

The effective action (46) can be used in the calculation of the quark matrix elements of the quark-gluon operators (43)-(44), the operators being replaced by the effective ones:

$$\langle p', s' \,|O|\, p, s\rangle_{\text{inst.vac.}} = \langle p', s' \,|\text{“}O\text{”}|\, p, s\rangle_{\text{eff}}\,. \tag{50}$$

Here "O" is the *fermion* operator, which represents the *quark-gluon* operator O in the effective fermion theory. The explicit form of "O" was obtained in [23, 36]. The effective action and effective operators being known, it is possible to calculate the quark matrix elements of interest.

The direct calculation for the case of the operators (43), (44) results in the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p', s' \,|\text{“}O_\perp\text{”}|\, p, s\rangle_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{1}{2}M\rho^2 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\, G(ik) \\ & \bar{u}(p', s') \Big[F^*(i(k-p))F(ip)\; \hat{n}\gamma_5 \hat{S}(k-p)\hat{\Sigma}_\perp(k,n) \\ & - F^*(ip')F(i(k-p'))\; \hat{\Sigma}_\perp(k,n)\hat{S}(k-p')\hat{n}\gamma_5\Big]\, u(p,s) \\ & + \text{(corrections)}, \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p', s' \,|\text{“}O_{5\perp}\text{”}|\, p, s\rangle_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{1}{2}M\rho^2 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\, G(ik) \\ & \bar{u}(p', s') \Big[F^*(i(k-p))F(ip)\; \hat{n}\hat{S}(k-p)\hat{\Sigma}_\perp(k,n) \\ & - F^*(ip')F(i(k-p'))\; \hat{\Sigma}_\perp(k,n)\hat{S}(k-p')\hat{n}\Big]\, u(p,s) \\ & + \text{(corrections)}. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Here $G(k)$ is the form factor proportional to the Fourier transformation of the one-instanton dual field strength

$$G(k) = 32\pi^2 \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{t^2}\right) K_0(t) + \left(\frac{2}{t} + \frac{8}{t^3}\right) K_1(t) - \frac{8}{t^4}\right], \qquad t = k\rho, \tag{53}$$

$$G(k) = -4\pi^2, \qquad \rho \to 0, \tag{54}$$

and the following definitions have been used:

$$\hat{\Sigma}_\alpha(k,n) = \frac{k_\alpha k^\mu}{k^2} n^\nu \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^\nu k^\lambda}{k^2} n_\lambda \sigma_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{2} n^\nu \sigma_{\alpha\nu},$$

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu], \qquad n^\lambda = \frac{1}{\bar{p}_+ \sqrt{2}}(1;0,0,-1),$$

$u(p,s)$ - is the quark Dirac bispinor.

Using equations of motion, the expressions (51), (52) can be reduced to the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p', s' \,|\text{``}O_\perp\text{''}|\, p, s\rangle_{\text{eff}} &= MI(p)\, \bar{u}(p',s')\, \hat{n}\gamma_\perp\gamma_5\, u(p,s), & (55)\\ \langle p', s' \,|\text{``}O_{5\perp}\text{''}|\, p, s\rangle_{\text{eff}} &= -\xi MI(p)\, \bar{u}(p',s')\, \hat{n}\gamma_\perp\, u(p,s), & (56) \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = -\frac{1}{2}(p'-p)\cdot n$, and the integral $I(p)$ is defined in leading oder of ρ^2/R^2 as

$$I(p) = \rho^2 \frac{1}{3} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{G(k)F^3(k-p)}{(k-p)^2 + M^2F^2(k-p)} \left[-1 + 4\frac{(k\cdot p)^2}{k^2p^2} \right], \tag{57}$$

where the integration is performed in Euclidean space with $p^2 = -M^2$. In the case of diagonal matrix elements we reproduce (up to a sign) the results of Ref. [24]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p, s \,|\text{``}O_\perp\text{''}|\, p, s\rangle_{\text{eff}} &= 4Md^{(2)}_{\text{quark}}\, s_\perp, & (58)\\ \langle p, s \,|\text{``}O_{5\perp}\text{''}|\, p, s\rangle_{\text{eff}} &= 0, & (59) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d^{(2)}_{\text{quark}} = \frac{1}{2} I(p) \tag{60}$$

is a quark constant analogous to the hadron constant (36) in Eq. (37). Thus, the nondiagonal quark matrix elements (55), (56) of the twist-3 quark-gluon operators can be expressed through the quark constant:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p', s' \,|\text{``}O_\perp\text{''}|\, p, s\rangle_{\text{eff}} &= 2Md^{(2)}_{\text{quark}}\, \bar{u}(p',s')\, \hat{n}\gamma_\perp\gamma_5\, u(p,s), & (61)\\ \langle p', s' \,|\text{``}O_{5\perp}\text{''}|\, p, s\rangle_{\text{eff}} &= -2\xi Md^{(2)}_{\text{quark}}\, \bar{u}(p',s')\, \hat{n}\gamma_\perp\, u(p,s). & (62) \end{aligned}$$

In the limit of a small average size of instantons ρ we get the following estimate of the integral $I(p)$ (57):

$$d^{(2)}_{\text{quark}} \sim I(p) \sim \rho^2 M^2 \ln \rho^2 M^2, \qquad \rho \ll R. \tag{63}$$

The quantity $M\rho \sim \pi\rho^2/R^2$ is a small parameter in the effective fermion theory and, thus, we notice the quark matrix elements of the quark-gluon operators to be parametrically suppressed as a forth power of the "packing fraction" ρ/R of the instanton vacuum. The numerical calculation [24] of $I(p)$ gives $d^{(2)}_{\text{quark}} = 0.011$ at the phenomenological value $M\rho = 0.58$.

The non-zero value of $d^{(2)}_{\text{quark}}$ appears only at the order $\sim \pi^2\rho^4/R^4$. At the present stage of development of our theory we cannot make precise predictions at this order, as this would require to go beyond the one-instanton approximation. Therefore we consider the value of

$d^{(2)}_{\rm quark}=0.011$ only as an order of magnitude estimate. Using this order of magnitude estimate we can predict the order of magnitude of $d^{(2)}$ for the nucleon [24]:

$$d^{(2)}\sim 10^{-3},\quad \text{with}\quad d^{(2)}_p-d^{(2)}_n\sim 1/N_c,\quad d^{(2)}_p+d^{(2)}_n\sim 1/N_c^2. \tag{64}$$

Without the suppression due to the instanton packing fraction a "natural" value of the nucleon $d^{(2)}$ would be of order $\sim\int_0^1 dx\, x^2\, g_1(x)\sim$ few $\times\, 10^{-2}$. A recent experiment at SLAC [19] quotes the values $d^{(2)}_p=(3.2\pm1.7)\cdot10^{-3}$ and $d^{(2)}_n=(7.9\pm4.8)\cdot10^{-3}$ at $Q^2\approx 5$ GeV^2. These data hint on possible "anomalous suppression" of $d^{(2)}$ as predicted in the model of the instanton vacuum [24].

Using the results (61) and (62) for the quark matrix elements we can make a simple estimate of the corresponding nucleon matrix element at $\Delta^2=0$. In such a kind of estimate we assume that the nucleon matrix element at $\Delta^2=0$ has the same structure as in Eqs. (61,62). Such an assumption corresponds to the use of a simple quark model to "translate" the quark matrix elements to the nucleon ones. More sophisticated estimates can be done with help of the chiral quark-soliton model, see e.g. Refs. [24, 37]. Note, however, that for the very fact of the suppression of the twist-3 nucleon matrix elements the usage of the simple quark model is sufficient. In the simple quark model of the nucleon we arrive at:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle P',S'\,|\text{“}O_\perp\text{”}|\,P,S\rangle_{\rm eff} &= 2M_N d^{(2)}\,\bar N(P',S')\,\hat n\gamma_\perp\gamma_5\,N(P,S), &(65)\\ \langle P',S'\,|\text{“}O_{5\perp}\text{”}|\,P,S\rangle_{\rm eff} &= -2\xi M_N d^{(2)}\,\bar N(P',S')\,\hat n\gamma_\perp\,N(P,S), &(66)\end{aligned}$$

where $N(P,S)$ is the nucleon Dirac bispinor. We come to the conclusion that the hadron matrix elements of the "genuine twist-3" (quark-gluon) opeators are parametrically suppressed in the effective chiral theory by the "packing fraction" of the instanton vacuum.

6 GPDs decomposition

The quark twist-2 (WW) contributions to the nucleon GPD $\mathcal{F}_\perp$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\perp$ have been obtained in Refs. [13, 16]. In this section we would like to compare the WW contributions with the genuine twist-3 ones, calculated in the previous section (to be precise, we will compare their x^2-moments). Following Ref. [12] we present the "transverse" part of the GPDs in the form[1]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\perp\mu}(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \bar N(P',S')\Big\{(H+E)\;\gamma^\perp_\mu\\ &+\; G_1\,\frac{\Delta^\perp_\mu}{2M}+G_2\,\gamma^\perp_\mu+G_3\,\Delta^\perp_\mu\hat n+G_4\,i\varepsilon^\perp_{\mu\nu}\Delta^\nu_\perp\hat n\gamma_5\Big\}N(P,S), &(67)\\ \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\perp\mu}(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \bar N(P',S')\Big\{\widetilde H\;\gamma^\perp_\mu\gamma_5+\widetilde E\,\frac{\Delta^\perp_\mu}{2M}\gamma_5\\ &+\; \widetilde G_1\,\frac{\Delta^\perp_\mu}{2M}\gamma_5+\widetilde G_2\,\gamma^\perp_\mu\gamma_5+\widetilde G_3\,\Delta^\perp_\mu\hat n\gamma_5+\widetilde G_4\,i\varepsilon^\perp_{\mu\nu}\Delta^\nu_\perp\hat n\Big\}N(P,S). &(68)\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above equations all functions depend on x,ξ and Δ^2. The first three moments of the quark contributions have the following structure.

---

[1] We slightly changed the notations of Ref. [12] and added supplementary functions which were missed in Ref. [12], see also [38].

The x^0-moments are:

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, \mathcal{F}_{\perp\mu}(x,\xi,\Delta) \;=\; \bar{N}(P',S')\Big\{G_M(\Delta^2)\;\big[\gamma_\mu^\perp\big]\Big\}N(P,S), \tag{69}$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\perp\mu}(x,\xi,\Delta) \;=\; \bar{N}(P',S')\left\{G_P(\Delta^2)\;\left[\frac{\Delta_\mu^\perp}{2M}\gamma_5\right] + G_A(\Delta^2)\;\big[\gamma_\mu^\perp\gamma_5\big]\right\}N(P,S). \tag{70}$$

Here the well-known sum rules [11] have been used:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, H(x,\xi,\Delta^2) &= F_1(\Delta^2),\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, E(x,\xi,\Delta^2) &= F_2(\Delta^2),\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, \widetilde{H}(x,\xi,\Delta^2) &= G_A(\Delta^2),\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, \widetilde{E}(x,\xi,\Delta^2) &= G_P(\Delta^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where $F_1(\Delta^2)$ and $F_2(\Delta^2)$ are the Dirac and Pauli form factors, $G_P(\Delta^2)$ and $G_A(\Delta^2)$ are the pseudo-scalar and axial-vector form factors; and, by definition, the magnetic form factor is

$$G_M(\Delta^2) = F_1(\Delta^2) + F_2(\Delta^2). \tag{72}$$

The results (69) and (70) imply that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx\; G_i(x,\xi,\Delta) = 0, \qquad \int_{-1}^{1} dx\; \widetilde{G}_i(x,\xi,\Delta) = 0.$$

These sum rules can be considered as a non-forward generalization of Burkhardt-Cottingham [31] sum rules (32).

For the x-moments of the vector GPD we get:

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\, G_1(x,\xi,\Delta) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\, E(x,\xi,\Delta), \tag{73}$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\, G_2(x,\xi,\Delta) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\left[G_A(\Delta^2) - \int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\,(H+E)(x,\xi,\Delta)\right], \tag{74}$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\, G_3(x,\xi,\Delta) \;=\; 0, \tag{75}$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\, G_4(x,\xi,\Delta) \;=\; 0. \tag{76}$$

Note that the x-moment of the GPD G_2 does not vanish in the forward limit and gives the quark orbital momentum of the nucleon [12]:

$$\lim_{\Delta\to 0}\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\, G_2(x,\xi,\Delta) = -J_q + \frac{1}{2}\;\Delta q = -L_q\,.$$

For the x-moments of the axial-vector GPD we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\, \widetilde{G}_1(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \frac{1}{2}\left[F_2(\Delta^2) + \left(\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} - 1\right)\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\, \widetilde{E}(x,\xi,\Delta)\right], && (77)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\, \widetilde{G}_2(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\xi^2 G_E(\Delta^2) - \frac{\Delta^2}{4M^2}F_2(\Delta^2) - \int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\, \widetilde{H}(x,\xi,\Delta)\right], && (78)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\, \widetilde{G}_3(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \frac{1}{4}\,\xi\, G_E(\Delta^2), && (79)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\, \widetilde{G}_4(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \frac{1}{4}\, G_E(\Delta^2), && (80)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_E(\Delta^2) = F_1(\Delta^2) + \frac{\Delta^2}{4M^2}\, F_2(\Delta^2) \tag{81}$$

is the electric form factor. These moments have no gluon contribution due to (40) and they have already been discussed in [12] and [16]. Similarly to the previous case one can consider the relations (73)-(76) and (77)-(80) as a non-forward generalization of Efremov-Leader-Teryaev [32] sum rule (33).

We are passing now to a consideration of the x^2-moments. For these moments the "genuine twist-3" (quark-gluon) contribution (42) does not vanish and one can decompose the GPDs G and $\widetilde{G}$ from (67), (68) into the WW and genuine twist-3 parts:

$$G_i^{(2)}(\xi,\Delta) = G_i^{(2)WW}(\xi,\Delta) + G_i^{(2)tw3}(\xi,\Delta), \qquad i = 1,2,3,4. \tag{82}$$

For the x^2-moments of the vector GPD "kinematical" part we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, G_1^{WW}(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left[\xi F_2(\Delta^2) + \xi\left(\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} - 1\right)\widetilde{E}^{(1)}(\xi,\Delta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}E^{(2)}(\xi,\Delta)\right], && (83)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, G_2^{WW}(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \frac{1}{3}\left[\xi^2 G_M(\Delta^2) + \frac{\Delta_\perp^2}{4M^2}\left(\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} - 1\right)\widetilde{E}^{(1)}(\xi,\Delta)\right.\\
&\qquad \left. + \widetilde{H}^{(1)}(\xi,\Delta) - 2(H+E)^{(2)}(\xi,\Delta)\right], && (84)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, G_3^{WW}(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \frac{1}{6}\left[\xi G_M(\Delta^2) + \left(1 - \frac{\Delta^2}{4M^2}\right)\xi\left(\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} - 1\right)\widetilde{E}^{(1)}(\xi,\Delta)\right.\\
&\qquad \left. - \frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\left(H+E\right)^{(2)}(\xi,\Delta)\right], && (85)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, G_4^{WW}(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \left(-\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} - 1\right)\left[\widetilde{H}^{(1)}(\xi,\Delta) + \frac{\Delta^2}{4M^2}\widetilde{E}^{(1)}(\xi,\Delta)\right], && (86)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used shorthand notations for the Mellin moments of the twist-2 vector GPDs:

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{(m)}(\xi,\Delta) &= \int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^m H(x,\xi,\Delta),\\
E^{(m)}(\xi,\Delta) &= \int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^m E(x,\xi,\Delta);
\end{aligned}$$

and analogous notations for the twist-2 pseudovector GPDs $\widetilde{H}$ and $\widetilde{E}$.

For the x^2-moments of the axial-vector GPD we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, \widetilde{G}_1^{WW}(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}-2\right)\left[E^{(1)}(\xi,\Delta)-\widetilde{E}^{(2)}(\xi,\Delta)\right], && (87)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, \widetilde{G}_2^{WW}(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \frac{1}{3}\Big[\xi^2\left(G_A(\Delta^2)+(H+E)^{(1)}(\xi,\Delta)\right) \\
&\qquad + \frac{\Delta_\perp^2}{4M^2}\left(\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}-2\right)E^{(1)}(\xi,\Delta)-2\widetilde{H}^{(2)}(\xi,\Delta)\Big], && (88)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, \widetilde{G}_3^{WW}(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \frac{1}{6}\Big[\xi\Big(G_A(\Delta^2)+(H+E)^{(1)}(\xi,\Delta)\Big) \\
&+ \left(1-\frac{\Delta^2}{4M^2}\right)\xi\left(\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}-2\right)E^{(1)}(\xi,\Delta)-\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\widetilde{H}^{(2)}(\xi,\Delta)\Big], && (89)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, \widetilde{G}_4^{WW}(x,\xi,\Delta) &= \left(-\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}-2\right)\left[H^{(1)}(\xi,\Delta)+\frac{\Delta^2}{4M^2}E^{(1)}(\xi,\Delta)\right]. && (90)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the quark-gluon contributions to the moments of $\mathcal{F}_\perp$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\perp$. We have already shown that their x^0- and x^1-moments vanish (40), with the x^2-moment being given by (42) in the vector case. Substituting (65) into (42) and decomposing the result according to (67) we get the following expressions for the x^2-moments of the genuine twist-3 vector GPD at $\Delta^2=0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, G_1^{tw3}(x,\xi) &= 0, && (91)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, G_2^{tw3}(x,\xi) &= -\frac{2}{3}(1-\xi^2)\, d^{(2)}, && (92)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, G_3^{tw3}(x,\xi) &= \frac{1}{3}\,\xi\, d^{(2)}, && (93)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, G_4^{tw3}(x,\xi) &= \frac{1}{3}\, d^{(2)}. && (94)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $d^{(2)}$ is given by Eq. (36). For the axial-vector GPD we get at $\Delta^2=0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, \widetilde{G}_1^{tw3}(x,\xi) &= 0, && (95)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, \widetilde{G}_2^{tw3}(x,\xi) &= \frac{2}{3}(1-\xi^2)\, d^{(2)}, && (96)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, \widetilde{G}_3^{tw3}(x,\xi) &= -\frac{1}{3}\,\xi\, d^{(2)}, && (97)\\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x^2\, \widetilde{G}_4^{tw3}(x,\xi) &= -\frac{1}{3}\, d^{(2)}. && (98)
\end{aligned}$$

The expressions (91)-(94) and (95)-(98) for the the genuine twist-3 contributions should be compared to the expressions (83)-(86) and (87)-(90) of the WW part for the quark contributions correspondingly. As we saw above, in the instanton vacuum the constant $d^{(2)}$ is strongly suppressed by the packing fraction of instantons [24]. Here we expressed the x^2-moments of the genuine twist-3 GPDs in terms of the constant $d^{(2)}$, see expressions (91)-(94) and (95)-(98). We conclude that the twist-3 vector and axial-vector GPDs are parametrically suppressed relative to the WW ones due to the packing fraction of the instanton vacuum:

$$\frac{G_i^{(2)tw3}}{G_i^{(2)WW}} \sim \pi^2 \left(\frac{\rho^2}{R^2}\right)^2 \ln\left(\frac{\rho^2}{R^2}\right) \ll 1. \tag{99}$$

This indicates that in the QCD vacuum the "genuine twist-3" (quark-gluon) contributions turn out to be small relative to the purely quark ones.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge useful discussions with N. Kivel, A. Mukherjee and M. Vanderhaeghen. We thank Vadim Guzey for careful reading of the manuscript. This work is supported by the Sofja Kovalevskaja Programme of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, the Federal Ministry of Education and Research and the Programme for Investment in the Future of German Government.

References

[1] D. Müller *et al.*, Fortschr. Phys. **42**, 101 (1994).

[2] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 7114 (1997).

[3] A. V. Belitsky, D. Müller and A. Kirchner, Nucl. Phys. **B629**, 323 (2002).

[4] C. Adloff *et al.* [H1 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. **B517**, 47 (2001).

[5] A. Airapetian *et al.* [HERMES Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 182001 (2001).

[6] S. Stepanyan *et al.* [CLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 182002 (2001).

[7] F. Ellinghaus [for the HERMES Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. **A711**, 171 (2002).

[8] X. Ji, J. Phys. **G24**, 1181 (1998).

[9] A. V. Radyushkin, in *At the Frontier of Particle Physics*, edited by M. Shifman (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001).

[10] K. Goeke, M. V. Polyakov and M. Vanderhaeghen, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **47**, 401 (2001).

[11] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 610 (1997).

[12] M. Penttinen, M. V. Polyakov, A. G. Schuvaev and M. I. Strikman, Phys. Lett. **B491**, 96 (2000).

[13] A. V. Belitsky and D. Müller, Nucl. Phys. **B589**, 611 (2000).

[14] I. V. Anikin, B. Pire and O. V. Teryaev, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 071501 (2000).

[15] A. V. Radyushkin and C. Weiss, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 114012 (2001).

[16] N. Kivel and M. V. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. **B600**, 334 (2001).

[17] N. Kivel, M. V. Polyakov, A. Schäfer and O. V. Teryaev, Phys. Lett. **B497**, 73 (2001).

[18] S. Wandzura and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. **B72**, 195 (1977).

[19] P. L. Anthony *et al.* [E155 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. **B553**, 18 (2003).

[20] G. S. Mitchell [for the E155 Collaboration], hep-ex/9903055.

[21] P. Bosted [for the E155x Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. **A663**, 297 (2000); **A666**, 300 (2000).

[22] D. Diakonov and V. Petrov, Nucl. Phys. **B245**, 259 (1984); **B272**, 457 (1986).

[23] D. I. Diakonov, M. V. Polyakov and C. Weiss, Nucl. Phys. **B461**, 539 (1996).

[24] J. Balla, M. V. Polyakov and C. Weiss, Nucl. Phys. **B510**, 327 (1997).

[25] B. Dressler and M. V. Polyakov, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 097501 (2000).

[26] I. I. Balitsky, Phys. Lett. **B124**, 230 (1983).

[27] I. I. Balitsky and V. M. Braun, Nucl. Phys. **B311**, 541 (1988/1989).

[28] M. Anselmino, A. Efremov and E. Leader, Phys. Rept. **261**, 1 (1995) [Erratum-*ibid.* **281**, 399 (1995)].

[29] R. L. Jaffe, in *Proceedings of the International School on Nucleon Spin Structure, Erice, Italy, 1995,* edited by B. Frois, V. W. Hughes and N. de Groot (World Scientific, 1997), p. 42.

[30] R. D. Tangerman and P. J. Mulders, hep-ph/9408305.

[31] H. Burkhardt and W. N. Cottingham, Ann. Phys. **56**, 453 (1970).

[32] A. V. Efremov, O. V. Teryaev and E. Leader, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 4307 (1997).

[33] D. I. Diakonov, V. Yu. Petrov and P. V. Pobylitsa, Nucl. Phys. **B306**, 809 (1988).

[34] D. Diakonov, in *Selected Topics in Nonperturbative QCD,* proceedings of Enrico Fermi International School of Physics, Course 80, Varenna, Italy, edited by A. Di Giacomo and D. Diakonov (IOS Press, 1996), p. 397; hep-ph/0212026.

[35] D. Diakonov and V. Yu. Petrov, in *At the Frontier of Particle Physics*, edited by M. Shifman (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001).

[36] M. V. Polyakov and C. Weiss, Phys. Lett. **B387**, 841 (1996).

[37] N. Y. Lee, K. Goeke and C. Weiss, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 054008 (2002).

[38] A. Mukherjee and M. Vanderhaeghen, hep-ph/0211386.